

MEETING MINUTES

Date:	27 October 2005
Session:	Congress of Chairs, PCSF 2005 Fall Meeting
Presenter:	Bill Rush, Chair
Type:	Interest Group Meeting

Attendees

Bill Rush, Chairman
Dennis Holstein, Admin
Joe Falco
Keith Stouffer
Joe Weiss
Bob Pollock
Kevin Robbins
Lou Leffler
Frances Cleveland
Todd Davis
Bob Webb
Bryan Singer
Mike Bush
Bill Lewins
Jeff Dagle
Andrew Wright

Agenda

1. Welcome Remarks

Bill rush opened the meeting by welcoming everyone and explaining the purpose and scope of the Congress of Chairs (CoC) Interest Group.

- Everything is in place to transition from an interest group to a working group.
- We need to formalize the CoC membership.

2. Glossary Project

Bill Rush described the Glossary Project and the procedure for contributing to the glossary or using the glossary.

- Frances Cleveland suggested that everyone should use the definitions used by the federal government in their official publications. Frances suggested that the combined glossary is really a compendium of glossaries.
- Bill explained that the purpose is to simply show that differences exist, not to tell them that they should use a specific definition. That is, the combined glossary is to raise awareness, not to provide an authoritative definition.
- Bill encouraged those working groups that have not contributed their glossaries to the CoC to do so. The working groups prefer to have most of their work done before

sending their glossary to the CoC, but have time to adjust to feedback from the combined glossary.

- Joe Weiss suggested that the CoC review the glossaries submitted to determine if the three terms he discussed in Albuquerque (security, control systems, SCADA) have been defined.

3. Standards Activities and Frameworks

The state of activity in the CoC table has been posted on the Web. This table should be reviewed and corrected by the organizations responsible for the work.

4. US-CERT Overview, by Kevin D. Robbins, Sandia National Laboratories

Kevin Robbins presented an overview of the US-CERT Control Systems Security Center (CSSC) Standards Awareness and Capabilities. The standards used in the analysis include approved standards and standards that are in work. Also used were technical reports. The presentation is published on the PCSF Web site.

The requirements used have not been vetted by the asset owners. Those requirements taken from the Common Criteria may not be adequate – that was certainly the case for IEC TC57 and TC65.

- Jeff Dagle described the process cycle that will be followed before the document is released for public use. The process does include a review by DHS (the sponsor) followed by a review from the stakeholders. Several attendees expressed concern over the publication of this work, the interpretation given to the subjective analysis.
- Frances Cleveland gave an example of how she thought IEC TC57 could use the framework document. Basically, it provides a quick look into what is applicable to the power system domain, and identified inputs for specific work-in-progress or where new work is needed.

5. How to Get More Participation, by Bob Webb

Bob led a discussion on how we can get more participation in CoC and the development of security standards. In other words, we have a marketing problem! This issue needs to be given more thought.

Decisions and Action Items

No action items were assigned.

Next Meeting

6-7 June 2006: Spring Meeting – San Diego, CA